UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FORTINO GUERRERO-LARRANAGA; et al.,	No. 05-76670
Petitioners,	Agency Nos. A79-522-791
	A79-522-792
v.	A79-522-793
	A79-522-794
ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,	MEMORANDUM [*]
Respondent.	

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, GOODWIN and RAWLINSON, Circuit Judges.[**]

Petitioners' motion to file a late response to the court's December 13, 2005

order to show cause is granted.

We have reviewed the response to the court's December 13, 2005, order to

show cause, and we conclude that petitioners Fortino Guerrero-Larranaga and

---

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be
cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

[**] This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

05-76670

Norma Alicia Guerrero have failed to raise a colorable constitutional claim to invoke our jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See Torres-Aguilar v. INS*, 246 F.3d 1267, 1271 (9th Cir. 2001). Accordingly, we *sua sponte* dismiss this petition for review for lack of jurisdiction. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i); *Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft*, 327 F.3d 887, 892 (9th Cir. 2003); *Montero-Martinez v. Ashcroft*, 277 F.3d 1137, 1144 (9th Cir. 2002).

Petitioners Eder Jonathan Guerrero-Garcia and Edwin Humberto Guerrero-Garcia do not have a qualifying relative for purposes of cancellation of removal. Accordingly, the court summarily denies the petition with respect to these petitioners. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D); *Molina-Estrada v. INS*, 293 F.3d 1089 (9th Cir. 2002).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

DISMISSED in part and DENIED in part